

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vuguna 22313-1450 www.uspte.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/072,855	02 06 2002		Junichi Karasawa	81751,0026	2296	
26021	7590	05 14 2003				
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				EXAMINER		
				NGUYEN, THINH T		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2818	2818	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  10/072,855  KARASAWA ET AL.  Examiner  Art Unit	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Thinh T Nguyen 2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	cation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 April 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
Disposition of Claims  A) Claim(a) 1.15 in/are pending in the application	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>	
6)[→] Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	e
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/072,855

Art Unit: 2818

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which the applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*. 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/072,855

Art Unit: 2818

CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of US patent 6,469.356. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claims 1-15 of the present invention is a similar version of the claimed invention in claims 1-10 of the above-identified U.S. Patent with similar intended scope.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to use the information of claims 1-10 and the information given by fig 3 layer 21a and column 13 lines 1-9 of US patent 6,469,356 in order to come up with the inventions of claims 1-15 of the present Application related to a semiconductor device with memory cells wherein the first drain-gate wiring layer and the second drain-gate wiring layer are located in different layers, respectively, and wherein a width of the first gate-gate electrode layer in the first load transistor is larger than the width of the first gate-gate electrode layer in the first driver transistor.

The reasoning is as follows:

a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to lower the resistance between the gate-gate layer of the driver transistor and the load transistor to make an efficient static memory cell with less power consumption.

4. When responding to the office action, applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and the page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/072,855

Art Unit: 2818

- 5. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned (see M.P.E.P. 710.02(b)).
- 6. Receipt is acknowledged of priority papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Kuriyama et al. (US patent 5994719) disclose a SRAM semiconductor device; Kim (US patent 6090654) discloses a method for manufacturing a static random access Memory cell.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8.30 AM to 5.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/072,855

Art Unit: 2818

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Thinh T Nguyen TIN

Art Unit 2818

HOAIHO PRIMARY EXAMINER